

Poster #71

Confronting the Community Land Model Against Atmospheric CO₂ and Biospheric Datasets in the Western U.S.

Henrique F. Duarte¹, John C. Lin¹, Derek V. Mallia¹, Dien Wu¹, Britton B. Stephens², Brett M. Raczka³, Daniel M. Ricciuto⁴, Charles D. Koven⁵, Peter E. Thornton⁴, David R. Bowling³, and James R. Ehleringer³

¹ University of Utah, Department of Atmospheric Sciences, Salt Lake City, UT

² National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO

³ University of Utah, Department of Biology, Salt Lake City, UT

⁴ Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN

⁵ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA

Contact: James Ehleringer [jim.ehleringer@utah.edu]

Forests in mountainous terrain represent a major carbon stock and potential for carbon uptake in the Western U.S. These forests are particularly vulnerable to climate change, which is expected to increase the frequency and severity of droughts, wildfires, and insect damage. Such disturbance events could weaken these forests' capacity to sequester carbon or switch them from a net carbon sink to a source. Despite the relevance of these mountain ecosystems, direct carbon flux measurements with eddy covariance towers are sparse, especially due to difficulties associated with complex topography. Land surface models, constrained by observed atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, emerge as an alternative for quantifying current carbon fluxes and projecting carbon dynamics into the future.

Here we simulate carbon fluxes and stocks in the Western U.S. with the Community Land Model, Version 4.5 (CLM), and assess the model performance against CO₂ observations at 3 mountain-top sites in the Regional Atmospheric Continuous CO₂ Network in the Rocky Mountains (Rocky RACCOON). The Stochastic Time-Inverted Lagrangian Transport Model, STILT, is used to link carbon fluxes simulated by CLM to CO₂ values at the observation sites. Meteorological fields from a high-resolution Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) simulation are used to drive CLM and STILT during the summer of 2012, a period characterized by severe drought in the Western U.S. We also assess the performance of CLM against reference data products used in the International Land Model Benchmarking Project (ILAMB), including datasets on above ground biomass, leaf area index, and net ecosystem exchange.

Overall, the results show that CLM significantly underestimates carbon fluxes and stocks in the study region. We hypothesize that this is mainly caused by excessive soil moisture stress in the model due to poor representation of soil moisture over complex terrain that could be traced back to CLM's hydrology module and/or the atmospheric forcing data (especially precipitation and air temperature). New simulations testing the impact of different atmospheric forcing datasets on model performance will be shown.